

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,233	09/28/2001	Leo Rubin	1080.311US2	7325
21186 7	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMI	NER
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938		JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,233	RUBIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sicins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayed the property of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ywithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> /	<u>August 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖾 (Claim(s) $1-84$ is/are pending in the application	1.				
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8,10-42,50-55,58-63,71-76 and 78-84 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛 (6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,43-49,56,57,64-70,77 and 78</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 (7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	·		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
44) 🗆 🖚	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,233

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 7, 8, 10-42, 50-55, 58-63, 71-76 and 78-84 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-6, 9, 43-49, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The claiming of a waveform absent its corresponding structure for creating or absent a practical application it is deemed to be merely an naturally occurring phenomenon and or a mathematical expression and is therefore non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,233

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 1-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 1, the magnitude of the terminal negative voltage is actually less than the magnitude of the maximum negative voltage in Figure 14 (the elected embodiment). Thus is would appear that Claim 1 is incorrect as written, thereby making the scope unclear. For art interpretation purposes, the maximum negative voltage magnitude is being read as greater than the terminal negative voltage magnitude as shown in Figure 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 9, 43-49, 56, 57, 64-70, 77 and 78 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Baker, Jr. et al, US-5974339. Note in particular Figure 13.

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 43-49, 56, 57, 64-70, 77 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Weiss, US-5184616. Note in particular Figure 8 at (124).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 43-49, 56, 57, 64-70, 77 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brink, US-5725560. Note in particular Figure 8 at (145).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703) 308-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday and Friday from 5:30am to 2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-3590.

Jeffrey N. Jastrzab Primary Examiner Group 3762

October 8, 2003